

Edge Detection of Medical Images Using Modified Ant Colony Optimization Algorithm based on Weighted Heuristics

Puneet Rai Moradabad Institute of Technology, Moradabad, India e-mail: puneet.devasheesh@gmail.com

Abstract — Ant Colony Optimization (ACO) is nature inspired algorithm based on foraging behaviour of ants. The algorithm is based on the fact how ants deposit pheromone while searching for food. ACO generates a pheromone matrix which gives the edge information present at each pixel position of image, formed by ants dispatched on image. The movement of ants depends on local variance of image's intensity value. This paper proposes an improved method based on heuristic which assigns weight to the neighbourhood. Thus by assigning the weights or priority to the neighbouring pixels, the ant decides in which direction it can move. The method is applied on Medical images and experimental results are provided to support the superior performance of the proposed approach and the existing method.

Index Terms — Ant Colony Optimization, Weighted Heuristics, Edge Detection, Pheromone.

I. INTRODUCTION

Detection of edges in an image is a very important step for the image understanding. Indeed, high-level processing tasks such as image segmentation and object recognition, etc. directly depend on the quality of the edges detected.

It is a pre-processing step in applications of image segmentation and computer vision particularly in the areas of feature detection and feature extraction. Edges represent important contour features in the corresponding image as they refers to the process of detecting points in a digital image at which the image brightness changes sharply, or more formally has discontinuities [1].

Recently natural swarm inspired algorithms like Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) [2][3], Ant Colony Optimization (ACO) have found their way into this domain.

Proposed by Italian Scholar M.Dorigo et al [4] [5], Ant Colony Optimization is inspired by natural behavior of ants that they deposit pheromone to give signal to other ants so that they follow some preferable path to reach the source of food. The pheromone trails evaporate with time. On a longer path pheromone has more time to evaporate, thus shorter paths are favored as they acts as compensation for pheromone evaporation. Ants follow shorter paths because the density of pheromone is higher on shorter paths. Many ACO algorithms have been developed [6], to name a few max-min ant system [7], ant colony system [8], etc.

In this paper, we propose ACO algorithm which works on weighted heuristics. The proposed approach exploits a number of ants, which moves on the image based on local variation of intensities of neighbouring pixels assigned with weight.

The paper is organized as follows. In section II fundamental concepts of ACO are provided. Then an ACO based approach with weighted heuristic is proposed in section III. Experimental results are presented in section IV. Finally section V concludes the paper.

II. ANT COLONY OPTIMIZATION

ACO is a probabilistic method that aims to find the optimized solution of target problem through a guided search over the solution space by constructing the pheromone information.

In an ACO algorithm, ants move through a search space, the graph, which consists of nodes and edges. The movement of the ants is probabilistically dictated by the transition probabilities, which reflects the likelihood that an ant will move from a given node to another.

This value is influenced by the heuristic information and the pheromone information of the node to which ant wants to move.

The heuristic information is solely dependent on the instance of the problem. Pheromone values are used and updated during the search. The algorithm consists of three main steps. The first is the initialization process. The second is the iterative construction-and-update process, where the goal is to construct the final pheromone matrix, each element of which corresponds to a pixel of image and the values of this matrix give the edge information of a particular pixel. The construction-and-update process is performed several times, once per iteration. The final step is the decision process, where the edges are identified based on the final pheromone values, it is calculated using threshold values.

According to the ant system [4], suppose K ants are used to find the optimal solution in a problem space of M1 \times M2 Nodes. Then the three steps will be applied as discussed in section 3.

III. PROPOSED ACO ALGORITHM

We propose two modifications to the existing ACO technique. First the heuristic information which is used to determine the probability using which ants move from one pixel to another [9][10][11].

In the proposed method we have assigned weights to the pixels for calculating the heuristic value. As the ant moves farther the weight is reduced. This gives additional information about the neighbourhood by increasing the farsightedness, to calculate transition probability.

Second we have assigned the initial pheromone matrix by the value $1/(M_1M_2)$. Till now no standard method has been explained to initialize the pheromone matrix. This will allow the ants to explore other pixels that may be considered as edge pixels. A m × n 2-D image can be represented as 2-D matrix with the image pixels as its elements (Fig. 1).

A. Initialization Process

Artificial ants are distributed over the image, they move from one pixel to another using the transition probability which depends on local intensity variation. The initial value of each element in the pheromone matrix is set to a constant given by $1/(M_1M_2)$, where M1 × M2 is the size of image.

f(0,0)	f(1,0)	f(2,0)	 f(m-1,0)
f(0,1)	f(1,1)	f(2,1)	 f(m-1,1)
f(0,2)	f(1,2)	f(2,2)	 f(m-1,2)
•	•	•	•
•	•	•	 •
•	•		
f(0,n-1)	f(1,n-1)	f(2,n-1)	 f(m-1,n- 1)

Figure 1. Matrix representation of digital image

The heuristic value at pixel (i, j) is determined by local statistics at that pixel:

$$\eta_{i,j} = \frac{V_c(I_{i,j})}{V_{max}} \tag{1}$$

Where $I_{i,j}$ the intensity value at pixel is (i, j), and $V_c(I_{i,j})$ is a function that operates on the local group of pixels around the pixel(i, j). The proposed method assigns weight to the pixels in neighborhood of the pixel(i, j), to calculate the value of $V_c(I_{i,j})$, this

improves the results in significant way as it covers a greater area to calculate the transition probability. By this, ants can view the transition in intensity at a large area, and thus ant can decide in which direction it should move, as it covers a larger area so the results are improved significantly It is given by

$$V_{c}(I_{i,j}) = 2 \times |I_{i-1,j-1} - I_{i+1,j+1}| + |I_{i-2,j-2} - I_{i+2,j+2}| + 2 \times |I_{i-1,j} - I_{i+1,j}| + |I_{i-2,j} - I_{i+2,j}| + 2 \times |I_{i-1,j+1} - I_{i+1,j-1}| + |I_{i-2,j+2} - I_{i+2,j-2}| + 2 \times |I_{i,j-1} - I_{i,j+1}| + |I_{i,j-2} - I_{i,j+2}|$$
(2)

 V_{max} is the maximum intensity variation in the whole image and serves as normalization factor.

i-2,j-2	i-1,j-2	i,j-2	i+1, j-2	i+2, j-2
				•
i-2,j-1``、	i-1, j-1	i, j-1	i+1, j-1	i+2,j-1
			1	
i-2,j	i-1, j	i, j	i+1, j	i+2,j
				•
i-2,j+1	i- ● , j+1 -	~î -}}∔ Ìi-	- i+1,∮ +1	i+2,j+1
	11			
i-2,j+2	ĭ- b j+2	i,j ● 2	i+1, → -2	i+2,j+2
		-		~ ~ ~

B. Iterative construction and update Process

At the n-th construction step, the k-th ant moves from node i to node j with transition probability

$$p_{(i_0,j_0),(i,j)}^{(n)} = \frac{(\tau_{i,j}^{(n-1)})^{\alpha}(\eta_{i,j})^{\beta}}{\sum_{(i,j)\in\Omega_{(i_0,j_0)}}(\tau_{i,j}^{(n-1)})^{\alpha}(\eta_{i,j})^{\beta}}, if \ j \in \Omega j$$
(3)

Where, $\tau_{i,j}^{(n-1)}$ is the pheromone value for the pixel (i,j), $\Omega_{(i_0,j_0)}$ is the neighborhood pixels of pixel (i_0,j_0) , $\eta_{i,j}$ is the heuristic information at the pixel (i,j). The constants α and β control the influence of the pheromone and the heuristic information, respectively.

Now as the ants move from one node to another node, the pheromone value at each pixel is updated both locally and globally. The goal of the pheromone update is to increase the pheromone values associated with good solutions and decrease those associated with bad ones. This is normally done by decreasing all the pheromone values (evaporation) and increasing the pheromone values associated with the good solutions (deposit). Pheromone evaporation implements a form of forgetting, which prevents premature convergence to sub-optimal solutions and favors the exploration of new areas in the image.

Each time an ant uses an edge to move from one node to another, it removes some pheromone from that edge to increase the exploration of other areas. The process of removing pheromones from edges as they are crossed is called local pheromone update. The local update counterbalances the effect of the greedy decision rule, which favors the exploitation of the pheromone information.

The amount of pheromone on the node (i, j) on the nth iteration, $\tau_{i,j}^{(n)}$, is updated locally according to following equation.

$$\tau_{i,j}^{(n)} = (1 - \varphi) \cdot \tau_{i,j}^{(n-1)} + \varphi \cdot \tau_{init}$$
(4)

Where $\phi \in (0,1]$ is the pheromone decay coefficient; τ_{init} is the initial pheromone value.

After all the ants finish the construction process, global pheromone update is performed on pixels that have been visited by at least one ant:

$$\tau_{i,j}^{(n)} = (1-\rho) \cdot \tau_{i,j}^{(n-1)} + \rho \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{K} \Delta \tau_{i,j}^{(k)}$$
(5)

Where $\Delta \tau_{i,j}^{(k)}$ is the amount of pheromone deposited by the kth ant on pixel (i, j), $\rho \in (0,1]$ is the pheromone evaporation constant. The deposited amount of pheromone $\Delta \tau_{i,j}^{(k)}$ is equal to the average of the heuristic information associated with the pixels that belong to the tour of the kth ant if pixel (i, j) was visited by the kth ant in its current tour; 0 otherwise.

C. Decision Process

Pheromone matrix is used for decision making that which pixel is to be considered as edge pixel by using Ostu method of thresholding [12].

The initial threshold T(0) is selected as the mean value of the pheromone matrix. Next, the entries of the pheromone matrix is classified into two categories according to the criterion that its value is lower than T(0) or larger than T(0). Then the new threshold is computed as the average of two mean values of each of above two categories. The above process is repeated until the threshold value does not change any more (in terms of a user-defined tolerance ϵ).

IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Experiments are conducted based on some test images. The size of images is 256×256 . The results are compared with existing ACO method. Parameters used for experiments are summarized in following table.

Parameter	
τ_{init} : Initial pheromone value	
N: No. of Iterations	
L: No. of construction steps	
K: No. of Ants	
α: Controls influence of	
pheromone trail	
β:controls influence of heuristic	
information	
φ:Pheromone decay coefficient	
p:Pheromone evaporation	
coefficient	

TABLE 2. VALUES USED IN EXPERIMENT

Parameter	Value			
$ au_{init}$	$1/(M_1M_2)$			
Ν	1-10 (Variable)			
L	40			
К	512			
α	1			
β	1			
φ	0.05			
ρ	0.1			







Figure 3. (a)-(e) Results of Existing ACO, (f)-(j) Results of Proposed Method at 0.035 threshold value





V. CONCLUSIONS

This paper presents a modified and improved ACO based method. We have defined the heuristic function that is calculated by assigning weights and priorities based on edginess of pixels. A neighbourhood of 25 pixels have been taken so as to increase the farsightedness of the ants to support better decision making. By this and can take decision in which direction it should move, if intensity in its local neighbourhood of 8 pixels is not changing then it will see beyond those 8 neighbours, if intensity changes then ant will move to that particular direction. The priority of close neighbours is high and those of far is low.

The experimental results show that the proposed technique gives better performance as compared to existing ACO algorithms. Fig 3 to Fig 5 shows the results of existing and proposed ACO method.



Figure 5. (a)-(e) Results of Existing ACO, (f)-(j) Results of Proposed Method at 0.015 threshold value

Table 3 and Table 4 show the comparative analysis of the proposed method with existing ACO method at different threshold values. Further the results in [9][10] and [11] gives edges which are thick, non-continuous and with less clear image content. But the proposed method gives thin and clear edges.

Fig 6 shows the comparison of existing and proposed method on the basis of number of results, Fig 7 to Fig 9 shows the comparison of existing and proposed method on the basis of Accuracy, Sensitivity and Specificity.

Copyright © 2014 MECS

Because the quality of edge varies with the values and parameters used for extracting the heuristic values so this research can be further extended on a strategy for calculating more effective heuristic information

Threshold Value	better	%	Same	%	Poor	%
0.005	05	56	02	22	02	22
0.01	06	67	01	11	02	22
0.015	05	56	02	22	02	22
0.025	04	44	03	33	02	22
0.035	06	67	01	11	02	22
Overall	26	58	09	20	10	22

TABLE 3. RESULTS OF PROPOSED METHOD IN COMPARISON TO STANDARD METHOD

TABLE 4. COMPARISON OF PROPOSED METHOD AND STANDARD METHOD ON THE BASIS OF ACCURACY, SENSITIVITY AND SPECIFICITY

	For Proposed Method			For standard Method		
Thres hold Value	Avg Accur acy (%)	Avg sensiti vity (%)	Avg specifi city (%)	Avg Accur acy (%)	Avg sens itivi ty (%)	Avg specifi city (%)
0.005	82.2	38.2	87.6	82.1	37.1	87.1
0.01	81.9	37.3	87.2	81.0	38.8	86.5
0.015	82.2	43	87.4	82.2	34.5	87.2
0.025	82.1	45.1	87.5	81.8	42.7	86.6
0.035	82.8	45.4	87.7	80.3	32.7	85.6
Avera ge	82.3	41.8	87.5	81.4	37.1	86.6



Figure 6. Results of Proposed method in comparison to Standard Method



Figure 7. Comparison of Accuracy of Proposed and Standard Method



Figure 8. Comparison of Sensitivity of Proposed and Standard Method



Figure 9. Comparison of Specificity of Proposed and Standard Method

REFERENCES

- [1] S.Nagabhushana, "Computer vision and image processing", New Age International, pp 86-90, 2006.
- [2] R. C. Eberhart and J. Kennedy, "A new optimizer using particle swarm theory", Proceedings of International conference on Micro Machine and Human science, Japan, pp. 39-43, 1995.
- [3] J. Kennedy and R. C. Eberhart, "Particle swarm optimization", Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Neural Networks, Piscataway, NJ, pp.1942-1948, 1995.
- [4] M. Dorigo, V. Maniezzo, and A. Colorni, "Ant System: Optimization by a Colony of Cooperating Agents," IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man and Cybernetics, Part B, vol. 26, pp. 29-41, 1996.
- [5] M. Dorigo and T. Stützle, Ant Colony Optimization, Cambridge: MIT Press, 2004.
- [6] M. Dorigo, M. Birattari, and T. Stutzle, "Ant colony optimization,"IEEE Computational Intelligence Magazine, vol. 1, pp. 28–39, Nov.2006.
- T. Stutzle and H. Holger H, "Max-Min ant system," Future Generation Computer Systems, vol. 16, pp. 889–914,Jun. 2000.
- [8] M. Dorigo and L. M. Gambardella, "Ant colony system: A cooperative learning approach to the traveling salesman problem," IEEE Trans. On

Evolutionary Computation, vol. 1, pp. 53–66, Apr. 1997.

- [9] R. Rajeshwari et.al., "A Modified Ant Colony Optimization Based Approach for Image Edge Detection.",Proceedings of International Conference on Image Information Processing (ICIIP 2011.).
- [10] Peng Xiao, Jun Li and Jian-Ping Li, "An improved Ant colony Optimization Algorithm for Image Extracting", International Conference on Apperceiving Computing and Intelligence Analysis (ICACIA), 2010.
- [11] Jing Tian, Weiyu Yu, and Shengli Xie, "An Ant Colony Optimization Algorithm For Image Edge Detection",IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation, 2008. CEC 2008. (IEEE World Congress on Computational Intelligence).
- [12] N. Otsu, A Threshold Selection Method from Gray-level Histograms, IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man and Cybernetics, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 62-66, 1979.

Puneet Rai, male is assistant professor in CS&IT Deptt at UP Technical University, India, for the last eight years, his research areas are image segmentation, Digital image edge detection.

How to cite this paper: Puneet Rai,"Edge Detection of Medical Images Using Modified Ant Colony Optimization Algorithm based on Weighted Heuristics", IJIGSP, vol.6, no.3, pp.21-26, 2014.DOI: 10.5815/ijigsp.2014.03.03