

Numerical Simulation Methods of Electromagnetic Field in Higher Education: Didactic Application with Graphical Interface for FDTD Method

Mihaela Osaci

Politehnica" University of Timisoara, 5 Revolutiei Street, Hunedoara, Romania, Email: mihaela.osaci@upt.ro

Received: 21 May 2018; Accepted: 13 July 2018; Published: 08 August 2018

Abstract—In general, the act of teaching in universities of the numerical methods of electromagnetic field simulation is a rather difficult action. In order to facilitate this act, it is necessary to use modern didactic means that complement the classical ones, so that the students understand in an interactive manner the method, the algorithm and its implementation into a programming language. This paper proposes a didactic method able to facilitate the understanding of numerical methods in electromagnetism. It's about of a didactic application with graphical interface, programmed using the Guide Matlab to simulate the electromagnetic waves propagation through various environments and applying the finitedifference time-domain method (FDTD).

Index Terms—Electromagnetic field, finite-difference time-domain method (FDTD), GUI Matlab application, didactic activity, Matlab programming environment.

I. INTRODUCTION

The computer-assisted training is a modality of students' individual education using computer software that guides step-by-step the student's path to knowledge by his or her own effort and pace of understanding [1,2,3]. In the modern teaching, it is successfully used the illustration of various aspects using computer-aided applications with graphical interface that are instructional activation methods treated by the general didactics as distinct methods [4,5,6,7,8]. When teaching the numerical methods for the determination of the electromagnetic field, the computer-aided application with graphical interface enables the interactive access to the theory of the method, the design and implementation of the basic algorithm into a programming language accessible to the students, the design and implementation of certain material propagation environments, implementation of some source models and, last but not least, the visual simulation in time and space of the propagation phenomenon whose evolution is hardly accessible to direct observation.

For example, as numerical method used for the electromagnetic field determination, we chose the finitedifference time-domain method (FDTD) [9,10,11,12,13,14,15] used in the analysis of electromagnetic field interaction with the matter, and the implementation of the computer-aided application with graphical interface was made using the GUIDE Matlab tool [16,17,18,19,20,21]. The FDTD method is based on solving the Maxwell's equations in differential form. Basically, the method consists of replacing the differential equations with finite difference equations [9], [13,14]. The best known implementation of FDTD is the Yee algorithm [9], [13,14], in which the space is discretised into a cubic grid, in whose nodes the environmental properties and the value of the electric/magnetic field are memorised. The spatial and temporal derivatives are replaced by central differences. To update the electromagnetic field at each point in space, we use a leap-frog algorithm (interleaving in time of the E and H components). The excitation applied at the initial time is known, and the time evolution of the electromagnetic field is going to be calculated [9], [13,14].

In an FDTD analysis of the electromagnetic field, the following aspects should be considered in particular [9], [13,14]: accuracy of results, numerical dispersion and algorithm stability. A method is considered to be accurate if the numerical solution is very close to the exact solution. Generally, there are 3 types of errors that affect the accuracy of a result: modelling errors, discretisation errors, and rounding errors. The numerical dispersion is caused by space discretisation. The discretisation leads to the emergence of new spectral components that propagate at different speeds. A numerical solution is stable if it produces a bounded result for a limited input variance. The numerical accuracy, dispersion and stability are influenced by spatial and temporal sampling resolutions. The spatial resolution must be less than $0.1 \cdot \lambda_{\min}$ (λ_{\min} is the minimum wavelength propagating in the analysed field). The temporal resolution is selected later, ensuring the numerical stability of the algorithm. The memory

requirements for FDTD are proportional to the number of cells in the computing domain.

In this article we present a didactic application with graphical interface, programmed using the Guide Matlab to simulate the electromagnetic waves propagation through various environments and applying the finitedifference time-domain method (FDTD). The FDTD method is based on solving the Maxwell's equations in differential form.

II. SOLVING MAXWELL'S EQUATIONS USING THE FINITE-DIFFERENCE TIME-DOMAIN METHOD (FDTD)

A. Maxwell's equations

We consider a space region in which the material environment can absorb electrical or magnetic energy. The Maxwell's equations [9], [13,14] in differential form are:

$$\frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t} = -\nabla x \vec{E} \tag{1}$$

(the law of electromagnetic induction)

$$\frac{\partial \vec{D}}{\partial t} = \nabla x \vec{H} - \vec{J}$$
(2)

(the law of magnetic circuit)

$$\nabla \vec{D} = \rho_{v} \tag{3}$$

(the law of electric flow)

$$\nabla \vec{B} = 0 \tag{4}$$

(the law of magnetic flow)

In eqs. (1)-(4), E is the electric field intensity [V/m], H is the magnetic field intensity [A/m], D is the electric induction $[C/m^2]$, B is the magnetic induction $[Wb/m^2]$, J is the electric current density $[A/m^2]$ and ρv is the charge density $[C/m^3]$.

Material laws [9], [13,14]:

$$\vec{J} = \sigma \vec{E} \tag{5}$$

$$\vec{D} = \varepsilon_0 \vec{E} + \vec{P} \tag{6}$$

$$\vec{B} = \mu_0 \left(\vec{H} + \vec{M} \right) \tag{7}$$

where: σ is the electrical conductivity of the environment [S/m], \vec{P} is the electrical polarisation of the environment, \vec{M} is the magnetisation, ε_0 is the air permittivity ($\varepsilon_0 =$

8.85·10⁻¹² [F/m]) and μ_0 is the air permeability ($\mu_0 = 1.256 \cdot 10^{-6}$ [H/m]).

For linear, isotropic and non-dispersive environments [9], [13,14]:

$$\vec{D} = \varepsilon \vec{E} \tag{8}$$

$$\vec{B} = \mu \vec{H} \tag{9}$$

The term \vec{J} may contain components that act as independent sources for the fields \vec{E} and \vec{H} (hereinafter, they will be denoted by J_{source}). Therefore:

$$\vec{J} = \vec{J}_{source} + \sigma \vec{E}$$
(10)

By replacing (8), (9) and (10) in (1) and (2), we obtain:

$$\frac{\partial \bar{H}}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{\mu} \nabla x \vec{E}$$
(11)

$$\frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \nabla x \vec{H} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left(\vec{J}_{source} + \sigma \vec{E} \right)$$
(12)

The equations (11) and (12) are rewritten using the projections on the coordinate axes:

$$\frac{\partial H_x}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{\mu} \left[\frac{\partial E_y}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial E_z}{\partial y} \right]$$
(13)

$$\frac{\partial H_y}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{\mu} \left[\frac{\partial E_z}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial E_x}{\partial z} \right]$$
(14)

$$\frac{\partial H_z}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{\mu} \left[\frac{\partial E_x}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial E_y}{\partial x} \right]$$
(15)

$$\frac{\partial E_x}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left[\frac{\partial H_z}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial H_y}{\partial z} - \left(J_{source_{\chi}} + \sigma E_x \right) \right]$$
(16)

$$\frac{\partial E_{y}}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left[\frac{\partial H_{x}}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial H_{z}}{\partial x} - \left(J_{sourcey} + \sigma E_{y} \right) \right]$$
(17)

$$\frac{\partial E_z}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left[\frac{\partial H_y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial H_x}{\partial y} - \left(J_{source_z} + \sigma E_z \right) \right]$$
(18)

The equations (13) and (18) are the basis of FDTD numerical algorithm for describing the interactions between the electromagnetic waves and the environments in question.

B. Maxwell's equations with finite differences

Given a function of the form u = u(x,t), dependent on time, t, and space, x, according to a certain law. In a numerical simulation, the solution of an equation can only be an approximation of the analytical solution because of the discretisation inherent to any calculation carried out with a numerical system. Therefore, if u(x,t) is the solution of an equation, this one can be determined only in discrete points having the form (x_i, t_n) , where i = spatial index, n = temporal index. In case of expansion in Taylor series of the function u(x,t) around the point

 x_i , for a given moment, t_n , we obtain [9], [13,14]:

$$u(x_{i} - \Delta x)|_{t_{n}} = u|_{x_{i},t_{n}} - \Delta x \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}|_{x_{i},t_{n}} + \frac{(\Delta x)^{2}}{2} \cdot \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}|_{x_{i},t_{n}} - \frac{(\Delta x)^{3}}{6} \cdot \frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial x^{3}}|_{x_{i},t_{n}} + \dots$$
(19)

$$u(x_{i} + \Delta x)|_{t_{n}} = u|_{x_{i},t_{n}} + \Delta x \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}|_{x_{i},t_{n}} + \frac{(\Delta x)^{2}}{2} \cdot \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}|_{x_{i},t_{n}} + \frac{(\Delta x)^{3}}{6} \cdot \frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial x^{3}}|_{x_{i},t_{n}} + \dots$$
(20)

If the two relations are subtracted, an approximation is obtained for $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}$.

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{u(x_i + \Delta x)_{l_n} - u(x_i - \Delta x)_{l_n}}{2\Delta x} + O\left[(\Delta x)^2\right]$$
(21)

By summing the two equations and dividing by $(\Delta x)^2$, an approximation for $\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}$ is obtained as follows:

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} = \frac{u(x_i + \Delta x)/_n - 2u/_{x_i,t_n} + u(x_i - \Delta x)/_n}{(\Delta x)^2} + O\left[(\Delta x)^2\right]$$
(22)

where $O[(\Delta x)^2] = 2^{nd}$ order error, occurred because the terms of the Taylor series whose order is higher than 2 have been neglected.

The algorithm is not time-dissipative, meaning the numeric waves that propagate across the grid are not mitigated over time due to the applied numerical method.

A point in the discretised space, whose coordinates are (i, j, k), will be associated with the quantity $(i\Delta x, j\Delta y, k\Delta z)$. A function dependent on time and space will be written as follows:

$$u(i\Delta x, j\Delta y, k\Delta z) \stackrel{not}{=} u_{i,j,k}^n$$
(23)

where: Δt = temporal increment, assumed to be uniform within the observation interval; n = integer number; Δx , Δy , Δz = space increments on the three directions of the coordinate system; i, j, k = integer numbers.

Thus, if we consider the derivative of u with respect to x, evaluated at the moment $t_n = n\Delta t$, it will be written as follows, using the equations with finite differences:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(i\Delta x, j\Delta y, k\Delta z, n\Delta t) = \frac{u_{i+1/2, j,k}^n - u_{i-1/2, j,k}^n}{\Delta x} + O\left[(\Delta x)^2, (\Delta y)^2, (\Delta z)^2, (\Delta t)^2\right]$$
(24)

The increment of $\pm 1/2$ at the index *i* (on the x-axis) denotes a spatial difference of $\pm \frac{1}{2} \cdot \Delta x$. Yee chose this notation to insert the components \vec{E} and \vec{H} into the space at intervals of $\Delta x / 2$. Similarly, we write the partial derivatives of *u* with respect to y and z. The derivative of *u* with respect to time, evaluated at a given point in space, (i, j, k), is:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} (i\Delta x, j\Delta y, k\Delta z, n\Delta t) = \frac{u_{i,j,k}^{n+1/2} - u_{i,j,k}^{n-1/2}}{\Delta t} + O[(\Delta x)^2, (\Delta y)^2, (\Delta z)^2, (\Delta t)^2]$$
(25)

Using the above notations, the Maxwell's equations with finite differences are written as follows [9], [13,14]:

$$\frac{E_{x} \binom{n+1/2}{i,j+1/2,k+1/2} - E_{x} \binom{n-1/2}{i,j+1/2,k+1/2}}{\Delta t} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{i,j+1/2,k+1/2}} \left(\frac{H_{z} \binom{n}{i,j+1/2,k+1/2} - H_{z} \binom{n}{i,j+1/2}}{\Delta y} - \frac{H_{y} \binom{n}{i,j+1/2,k+1/2} - H_{y} \binom{n}{i,j+1/2,k}}{\Delta z} - J_{source_{x}} \binom{n}{i,j+1/2,k+1/2} - \sigma_{i,j+1/2,k+1/2} \cdot E_{x} \binom{n}{i,j+1/2,k+1/2} \right)$$
(26)

By the equation (26), we wanted to evaluate the *Ex* component of the electric field at time *n*, in the point whose coordinates are (i, j+1/2, k+1/2). It can be seen that all the values of the field components in the right side of the equation are evaluated at time *n*, including the value of the electric field *Ex* produced due to the conductivity σ of the material. Since the values of Ex at time *n* are not stored in the memory, but only the values of Ex at time n-1/2, it is necessary to estimate these values. A method used to estimate *Ex* at time *n* is the so-called semi-implicit approximation (arithmetic mean):

$$E_x \int_{i,j+1/2,k+1/2}^{n} = \frac{E_x \int_{i,j+1/2,k+1/2}^{n+1/2} -E_x \int_{i,j+1/2,k+1/2}^{n-1/2}}{2}$$
(27)

It is assumed that the values of E_x are stored in the memory at time n-1/2, but not at time n+1/2. After substituting (27) into (26) and rearranging the terms, it results:

$$E_{x} |_{i,j+1/2,k+1/2}^{n+1/2} = \left(\frac{1 - \frac{\sigma_{i,j+1/2,k+1/2} \cdot \Delta t}{2\varepsilon_{i,j+1/2,k+1/2}}}{1 + \frac{\sigma_{i,j+1/2,k+1/2}}{2\varepsilon_{i,j+1/2,k+1/2}}} \right) \cdot E_{x} |_{i,j+1/2,k+1/2}^{n-1/2} + \left(\frac{\Delta t}{\varepsilon_{i,j+1/2,k+1/2}}}{1 + \frac{\sigma_{i,j+1/2,k+1/2}}{2\varepsilon_{i,j+1/2,k+1/2}}} \right) \cdot \left(\frac{H_{z} |_{i,j+1/2,k+1/2}^{n} - H_{z} |_{i,j,k+1/2}^{n}}{\Delta y} - \frac{H_{y} |_{i,j+1/2,k+1/2}^{n} - H_{y} |_{i,j+1/2,k}^{n}}{\Delta z} - J_{sourcex} |_{i,j+1/2,k+1/2}^{n} \right)$$
(28)

Similarly, we can deduce the equations with finite differences to determine E_V :

$$E_{y} \int_{i-l/2,j+l,k+l/2}^{n+l/2} = \left(\frac{I - \frac{\sigma_{i-l/2,j+l,k+l/2} \cdot \Delta t}{2\varepsilon_{i-l/2,j+l,k+l/2}}}{1 + \frac{\sigma_{i-l/2,j+l,k+l/2}}{2\varepsilon_{i-l/2,j+l,k+l/2}}} \right) \cdot E_{y} \int_{i-l/2,j+l,k+l/2}^{n-l/2} + \left(\frac{\Delta t}{\varepsilon_{i-l/2,j+l,k+l/2}}}{1 + \frac{\sigma_{i-l/2,j+l,k+l/2}}{2\varepsilon_{i-l/2,j+l,k+l/2}}} \right) \cdot \left(\frac{H_{x} \int_{i-l/2,j+l,k+l/2}^{n}}{\Delta z} - \frac{H_{z} \int_{i,j+l,k+l/2}^{n} - H_{z} \int_{i-l,j+l,k+l/2}^{n}}{\Delta z} - J_{sourcey} \int_{i-l/2,j+l,k+l/2}^{n} \right)$$
(29)

and E_Z :

$$E_{z} I_{i-l/2,j+l/2,k+l}^{n+l/2} = \left(\frac{1 - \frac{\sigma_{i-l/2,j+l/2,k+l} \cdot \Delta t}{2\varepsilon_{i-l/2,j+l/2,k+l} \cdot \Delta t}}{1 + \frac{\sigma_{i-l/2,j+l/2,k+l} \cdot \Delta t}{2\varepsilon_{i-l/2,j+l/2,k+l}}} \right) \cdot E_{z} I_{i-l/2,j+l/2,k+l}^{n-l/2} + \left(\frac{\Delta t}{\frac{\varepsilon_{i-l/2,j+l/2,k+l} \cdot \Delta t}{2\varepsilon_{i-l/2,j+l/2,k+l} \cdot \Delta t}}}{1 + \frac{\sigma_{i-l/2,j+l/2,k+l} \cdot \Delta t}{2\varepsilon_{i-l/2,j+l/2,k+l}}}{1 + \frac{\sigma_{i-l/2,j+l/2,k+l} \cdot \Delta t}{2\varepsilon_{i-l/2,j+l/2,k+l} - 1}}{1 + \frac{\sigma_{i-l/2,j+l/2,k+l} - 1}{2\varepsilon_{i-l/2,j+l/2,k+l} - 1}}{1 + \frac{\sigma_{i-l/2,j+l/2,k+l} \cdot \Delta t}{2\varepsilon_{i-l/2,j+l/2,k+l} - 1}}{1 + \frac{\sigma_{i-l/2,j+l/2,k+l} \cdot \Delta t}{2\varepsilon_{i-l/2,j+l/2,k+l} - 1}}}{1 + \frac{\sigma_{i-l/2,j+l/2,k+l} \cdot \Delta t}{2\varepsilon_{i-l/2,j+l/2,k+l} - 1}} \right)$$

$$(30)$$

Analogously, we can deduce the equations with finite differences for H_x , H_y and H_z . For example, for the component H_x :

$$E_{x} {}_{i,j+1/2,k+1/2}^{n+1/2} = \left(\frac{1 - \frac{\sigma_{i,j+1/2,k+1/2} \cdot \Delta t}{2\varepsilon_{i,j+1/2,k+1/2}}}{1 + \frac{\sigma_{i,j+1/2,k+1/2}}{2\varepsilon_{i,j+1/2,k+1/2}}} \right) \cdot E_{x} {}_{i,j+1/2,k+1/2}^{n-1/2} + \left(\frac{\Delta t}{\frac{\varepsilon_{i,j+1/2,k+1/2}}{1 + \frac{\sigma_{i,j+1/2,k+1/2}}{2\varepsilon_{i,j+1/2,k+1/2}}}} \right) \cdot \left(\frac{H_{z} {}_{i,j+1/2,k+1/2}^{n} - H_{z} {}_{i,j,k+1/2}^{n}}{\Delta y} - \frac{H_{y} {}_{i,j+1/2,k+1/2}^{n} - H_{y} {}_{i,j+1/2,k+1/2}^{n}}{\Delta z} - J_{sourcex_{i,j}^{n},j+1/2,k+1/2}} \right)$$
(31)

For the component H_V :

$$H_{y} {}^{n+1}_{i,j+l/2,k+1} = H_{y} {}^{n}_{i,j+l/2,k+1} + \left(\frac{\Delta t}{\mu_{i,j+l/2,k+1}}\right) \left(\frac{E_{z} {}^{n+1/2}_{i+l/2,j+l/2,k+1} - E_{z} {}^{n+1/2}_{i-l/2,j+l/2,k+1}}{\Delta x} - \frac{E_{x} {}^{n+1/2}_{i,j+l/2,k+3/2} - E_{x} {}^{n+1/2}_{i,j+l/2,k+1/2}}{\Delta z} - J_{m,sourcey} {}^{n+1/2}_{i,j+l/2,k+1}\right)$$
(32)

For the component H_{Z} :

$$H_{z} I_{i,j+l,k+l/2}^{n+1} = H_{z} I_{i,j+l,k+l/2}^{n} + \left(\frac{\Delta t}{\mu_{i,j+l,k+l/2}}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{E_{x} I_{i,j+3/2,k+l/2}^{n+1/2} - E_{x} I_{i,j+l/2,k+l/2}^{n+1/2}}{\Delta y} - \frac{E_{y} I_{i+l/2,j+l,k+l/2}^{n+1/2} - E_{y} I_{i+l/2,j+l,k+l/2}^{n+1/2}}{\Delta x} - J_{m,sourcey} I_{i,j+l,k+l/2}^{n+1/2}\right)$$
(33)

In the equations (28) - (33), we can see that the value of the field at a point in space, at a certain moment in time, depends only on its value at the previous moment and the adjacent values of the fields.

To implement FDTD in a region whose material parameters vary continuously with the spatial position, the following electromagnetic field update coefficients are defined and stored at the initial moment (t = 0)

The update coefficients for the electric field in the point (i, j, k):

$$C_{a}|_{i,j,k} = \frac{\left(1 - \frac{\sigma_{i,j,k}\Delta t}{2\varepsilon_{i,j,k}}\right)}{\left(1 + \frac{\sigma_{i,j,k}\Delta t}{2\varepsilon_{i,j,k}}\right)}$$
(34)

$$C_{bI}|_{i,j,k} = \frac{\left(\frac{\Delta t}{\varepsilon_{i,j,k} \cdot \Delta_{I}}\right)}{\left(1 + \frac{\sigma_{i,j,k}\Delta t}{2\varepsilon_{i,j,k}}\right)}$$
(35)

4

Copyright © 2018 MECS

(36)

$$C_{b2} \mid_{i,j,k} = \frac{\left(\frac{\varDelta t}{\varepsilon_{i,j,k} \cdot \varDelta_2}\right)}{\left(1 + \frac{\sigma_{i,j,k} \varDelta t}{2\varepsilon_{i,j,k}}\right)}$$

The update coefficients for the magnetic field in the point (i, j, k):

$$D_{bI}|_{i,j,k} = \left(\frac{\Delta t}{\mu_{i,j,k} \cdot \Delta_I}\right)$$
(37)

$$D_{b2} \mid_{i,j,k} = \left(\frac{\Delta t}{\mu_{i,j,k} \cdot \Delta_2}\right)$$
(38)

where Δ_1 and Δ_2 represent the two spatial increments that change when calculating the field in a certain point. For example, if only the indices afferent to the axes y and z are changing in the equation, it results that $\Delta_1 = \Delta y$ and $\Delta_2 = \Delta z$. For a cubic grid, $\Delta x = \Delta y = \Delta z = \Delta$ and, therefore, $\Delta_1 = \Delta_2 = \Delta$, $C_{b1} = C_{b2} = C_b$ and $D_{b1} = D_{b2} = D_b$.

C. Yee's algorithm

The numerical method developed by Yee [9], [13,14], offers the possibility to determine in time and space both the electric field and the magnetic field by solving the two rotor equations. The space in which the field analysis is carried out is divided into cubic cells. As can be seen in Fig. 1, the \vec{E} and \vec{H} components are positioned in the three-dimensional space so that each electric field component is surrounded by four magnetic field components, and each magnetic field component is surrounded by four electric field components. In the space proposed by Yee (a 3D grid), the continuity of the tangential components of E and H is preserved at the interface of two distinct environments, as long as the interface is parallel to one of the coordinate axes of the grid. Therefore, there is no need to specify the boundary conditions at the interface, but the permittivity and permeability must be specified at each point where the field is calculated. Although the Yee algorithm only uses Maxwell's rotor equations, the delivered solutions satisfy implicitly the other two equations (the relations (3) and (4)) due to the location of the \vec{E} and \vec{H} components in the Yee grid and the operations with central differences performed [9], [13,14].



Fig.1. Modality of placing the electric and magnetic field vectors in a 1D, 2D and 3D Yee cell.

The H components are located at the middle of each side of the cube, and the \vec{E} components are located at the centre of each face of the cube. The components of the E and H vectors are calculated in time, in the leap-frog manner [9], [13,14], as can be seen in Fig. 2. Thus, the E value at a point in space and at a given moment in time is calculated and stored in the memory using the E value at the same point, but at the previous moment, as well as the H values at the previous moment, in points adjacent to the point of interest. The H value is then calculated based on its value in the same point (but at the previous moment) and the E values (previously calculated) in the adjacent points, and the result is stored in the memory. The cycle continues with the recalculation of the E values for the immediately following moment, based on the E and H values previously determined, until the desired moment of time, and so on.



Fig.2. The space-time diagram used to determine E and H in a 1-D space. We see the use of central differences for spatial derivatives and the leap-frog method for temporal derivatives.

D. Electromagnetic field source modelling

In FDTD, we can use as field sources a wide variety of signals [9], [13,14]. The most commonly used are the sinusoidal signal and the Gaussian pulse.

Example of "hard" 1D sinusoidal source:

$$E(x) = E_0 \sin \omega t \tag{39}$$

In order to reduce the error, it is used the so-called "soft" sinusoidal source, if the source signal of interest from the source point is added to the previous value of the field.

$$E(x) = E(x) + E_0 \sin \omega t \tag{40}$$

The integration step is selected in line with the frequency of the field source.

Example of "hard' 1D Gaussian pulse:

$$E(x) = E_0 \exp\left[-c_1 \left(\frac{t - c_2 t_0}{t_0}\right)^2\right]$$
(41)

Example of "soft" 1D Gaussian pulse, if the source signal of interest from the source point is added to the previous value of the field:

$$E(x) = E(x) + E_0 \exp\left[-c_1 \left(\frac{t - c_2 t_0}{t_0}\right)^2\right]$$
(42)

Example of 'hard" source 1D modulated Gaussian pulse:

$$E(x) = E_0 \exp\left[-c_1 \left(\frac{t - c_2 t_0}{t_0}\right)^2\right] \sin \omega t$$
(43)

Example of "soft" source 1D modulated Gaussian pulse, if the source signal of interest from the source point is added to the previous value of the field:

$$E(x) = E(x) + E_0 \exp\left[-c_1 \left(\frac{t - c_2 t_0}{t_0}\right)^2\right] \sin \omega t$$
(44)

E. Boundary conditions, Yee cell size and stability criterion

The boundary conditions refer to what happens to the field component values at the boundaries of the integration domain. The problem does not exist in the limited space, such as a waveguide, a resonator, etc., where we need to model a region that includes an inner field.

In some problems, it is simulated the propagation of the electromagnetic field in open space. In these cases, since our simulation region needs to be limited, we must find a way to "simulate" the open space. These open space limit conditions are called "Radiation boundary conditions" (RBC) [9,10,11,12,13,14,15] or "Absorption boundary conditions" (ABC). The ABCs are necessary to avoid the field reflections on the walls of the integration domain. The most common set of ABC conditions was introduced by J. P. Berenger, named PML (Perfectly Matched Layer) [9,10,11,12,13,14,15]. In case of PML conditions, between the analysed field and the boundary (which remains perfectly conductive) it is inserted an absorbent layer with variable conductivity (the sigma increases linearly - or based on another law - towards the edges of the domain). Thus, if the absorbent layer is sufficiently thick, the waves reaching the end of the domain will be greatly attenuated and the reflections negligible.

Regarding the size of a 3D cell in the Yee FDTD algorithm, it must be small enough to enable obtaining the solution with good accuracy in the case of maximum frequency of interest. The spatial resolution (the distance between 2 successive points) must be selected so that the electromagnetic field does not change significantly from one point to the next one. The spatial resolution or sampling step is a fraction of the minimum wavelength propagating in the grid. To ensure the numerical stability of the algorithm, it is necessary to satisfy a relation [9,10,11,12,13,14,15] between the spatial and temporal increments, Δt . In case of constant ε and μ , the numerical stability is obtained if:

$$v_{max} \Delta t \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{\left(\Delta x\right)^2} + \frac{1}{\left(\Delta y\right)^2} + \frac{1}{\left(\Delta z\right)^2}}}$$
(45)

where: v_{max} = maximum propagation speed in the grid.

If ε and μ are varying, it is more difficult to obtain a stability criterion. The condition (45) imposes restrictions on the temporal increment for the spatial increments.

Once the spatial increments have been set, the temporal increment is selected so as to ensure the numerical stability.

If the propagation occurs only in the x-axis direction, we denote with $S = \frac{c\Delta t}{\Delta x}$ what we will hereinafter call the stability factor (also called Courant number) [2,3]. The stability factors for the other directions are similarly defined. In general,

$$S = \frac{v_x \Delta t}{\Delta x} + \frac{v_y \Delta t}{\Delta y} + \frac{v_z \Delta t}{\Delta z}$$
(46)

It is demonstrated that if 0 < S < 1, the wave propagating in the grid is not attenuated over time (when the propagation environment has no losses), and if the Courant number becomes greater than one, the amplitude of the wave increases exponentially with each temporal increment [9,10,11,12,13,14,15].

There are some extreme cases:

- a. If the spatial and temporal sampling is very fine, the numerical solution becomes more and more accurate, but the computation volume and time increase considerably. It can be shown that either the phase speed or the group speed is equal to c (the light speed in vacuum), regardless of frequency (non-dispersive propagation); therefore, the numerical algorithm is non-dispersive [9,10,11,12,13,14,15].
- b. Magic time-step sampling: $c\Delta t = \Delta x$. In this case, the solution obtained is accurate, regardless of the sampling step in time and space.
- c. Dispersive propagation. This is the most common situation, and occurs if S <1 and Δx is comparable to λ_{\min} . It has been noted that the "numerical" wave propagates in the grid with a lower speed than the equivalent "analogue" wave. As Δx becomes much smaller than λ_{\min} , the numerical dispersion becomes lower and lower.

III. FDTD-ONE-DIMENSIONAL CASE STUDY

We will further analyse the propagation of an electromagnetic field into a one-dimensional space. We assume that there are no variations in the y-axis direction, neither of the field nor of the grid geometry. Therefore, the partial derivatives in respect to y and z are null. In this case, the propagation occurs along the x-axis. We also assume that there are no sources of the field (J_{source_x} , $J_{m,source_x}$). The Maxwell's equations (the equations 1 and 2) become:

$$\varepsilon \frac{\partial E_z}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial H_y}{\partial x} - \sigma E_z, \quad \mu \frac{\partial H_y}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial E_z}{\partial x}$$
(47)

$$-\mu \frac{\partial H_z}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial E_y}{\partial x}, \quad -\frac{\partial H_z}{\partial x} - \sigma E_y = \frac{\partial E_y}{\partial t}$$
(48)

The equations (47) and (48) are rewritten as follows, using finite differences:

$$E_{z} |_{i-1/2}^{n+1/2} = C_{a} |_{i-1/2} \cdot E_{z} |_{i-1/2}^{n-1/2} + C_{b} |_{i-1/2} \cdot (H_{y} |_{i}^{n} - H_{y} |_{i-1}^{n}) / \Delta x$$
(49)

$$H_{y} l_{i}^{n+1} = H_{y} l_{i}^{n} + D_{b} l_{i} \cdot (E_{z} l_{i+1/2}^{n+1/2} - E_{z} l_{i-1/2}^{n+1/2})$$
(50)

The one-dimensional simulation algorithm in case of propagation in the x-axis direction involves the following steps [2,3]:

- 1. Establishing the dimension of the spatial integration domain.
- 2. Defining the spatial integration step Δx .
- 3. Defining the temporal integration step Δx in accordance with the signal resolution and excitation. Currently, $\Delta t = \frac{\Delta x}{c}$, where c is the propagation speed in vacuum or air.

4. Setting the maximum number of temporal integration steps.

- 5. Setting the type, position and, eventually, the frequency of the source.
- 6. Setting the boundary conditions.
- 7. Initialisation with field update constants, in accordance with the environmental properties.
- 8. Implementing the field components update cycles.

IV. DESCRIPTION OF GUI APPLICATION FOR ONE-DIMENSIONAL FDTD

The GUI application for one-dimensional FDTD is programmed in Matlab [16,17,18,19,20,21] and contains 19 files. For launching in execution, the path to the application folder is set from the Command Window, and then the startup file name is typed. The application's welcome screen is shown in Fig. 3.



Fig.3. Main interface of the application

When pressing the first 3 buttons - Fig. 3, we open word files with information about the FDTD method, Maxwell's equations, and algorithm of the method. When pressing the button "ONE-DIMENSIONAL STUDY CASE", we open the interface that exemplifies the electromagnetic wave propagation through various environments in "one-dimensional" case – Fig. 4.



Fig.4. Interface for one-dimensional case study

The first 2 buttons open word files with explanations on the case study description and field sources, the third button opens the interface where the type of propagation environment can be selected, and the fourth button closes this interface and opens the start interface. The button "PROPAGATION ENVIRONMENT PROPERTIES" leads us to the interface that enables the setting of some propagation environment properties – Fig. 5.



Fig.5. Interface for propagation environment properties

Thus, in the editing fields of the first panel, the length of the propagation domain and the number of spatial steps required for the modelling of the propagation domain and numerical integration are entered. Then, a radio button group is used to select the environment type (conducting, dielectric or magnetic), with variants of environmental models. When selecting a model, a panel with editing fields at the bottom appears to be active, enabling the user to enter the properties of the model in question – Fig. 6. By pressing the button "DATA AND GRAPHIC UPDATES", we can verify the data integrity, and the charts of relative electrical permittivity, relative magnetic permeability and electrical conductivity versus distance are shown on the right side – Fig. 6.

In the case of the dielectric environment, the following models have been designed [10]: dielectric window, dielectric window with smooth transition, dielectric discontinuity, sinusoidal dielectric, and dielectric with losses. For a conducting environment, the following models have been designed: conducting window, conducting window with smooth transition, half conducting space.

We exemplify the implementation for the conducting environment models – Figs. 6 - 8:



Fig.6. Conducting window



Fig.7. Conducting window with smooth transition



Fig.8. Half space conductor

We can exit from the interface of the one-dimensional model, and by pressing the button "SIMULATION" we reach the interface for the interactive presentation of electromagnetic wave propagation through the environment in question. It is shown the interface for simulating the one-dimensional FDTD - Fig. 9, for the "conducting window" environment model. As you can see, the parameters for spatial integration are transmitted from the environment interface. The spatial step, temporal step and Courant number will be calculated and displayed (that's why at initialisation they appear as blocked fields). The required values are entered into the editing fields and, by pressing the "SIMULATION" button, we can verify the integrity of data, and the Yee's algorithm is running. The results are presented graphically, in an interactive way - Fig. 9, 10, 11, 12.







Fig.10. Propagation of electromagnetic field at the moment $6.3333\cdot 10^{.9}~{\rm s}$



Fig.11. Propagation of electromagnetic field at the moment $1.2167 \cdot 10-8 \text{ s}$



Fig.12. Propagation of electromagnetic field at the moment 2.5.10-8 s

Note that (Fig. 13) the student can access the code behind the interface at any time by opening the file in the "Open in GUIDE" design mode with the "Editor" option.

EDITOR				VIEW										
New V	Oper	Save	Find Files	Insert Comment Indent	₽ . % ? ₽	fx 2010			 	Breakpoints	Nun	Run and Advance	≥ R ⊒ A	
			EDIT				NAVIGATE	BREAKPOINTS			RUN			
si	simulare.m* × +													
49	% hObject handle to figure													
50		% eventdata reserved - to be defined in a future version of MATLAB												
51		% handles structure with handles and user data (see GUIDATA)												
52	- F	* varargin command line arguments to simulare (see VARARGIN)												
53														
54		% Choose default command line output for simulare												
55 -		handles.output = hObject;												
56 -		global N; global L;global fs;												
57 -		global mesaj;												
58 -		if $isnan(L) == 1$												
59 -		L=' ';												
60 -		else												
61 -		<pre>set(handles.edit1,'String',L);</pre>												
62 -		end de la companya de												
64 -		N=1 .												
65 -		else .												
66 -		set(handles.edit2.'String'.N):												
67 -		end												
68 -		if isnan(fs)==1												
69 -		fs	;='';											
				Fig.1	13.	So	urc	е	code					

V. CONCLUSIONS

The paper presents a didactic application with graphical interface programmed in Matlab for numerical modelling of the interaction between the electromagnetic field and the matter using the finite-difference timedomain method (FDTD), the one-dimensional case. The method is based on solving the Maxwell's equations in differential form. Basically, the method consists of replacing the differential equations with finite difference equations. The implementation of the FDTD method is based on the Yee algorithm. The application can be used as educational software for the disciplines focused on the numerical methods used to determine the electromagnetic field. It is expected the application to be extended by treating the bi-directional and three-dimensional electromagnetic field propagation cases. Analogically, such teaching interfaces can be made for any course subject to which they fit.

The advantages of using a teaching application with graphical user interface focused on a course subject consist of: active analogical reasoning based on the interactivity of actions, establishing the particular-general and concrete-abstract relationship, facilitating the understanding of the algorithm through interactive viewing of its implementation, real-time visualization of the result of implementation - propagation of the electromagnetic field through the environment in question.

The use of modern teaching methods increases the motivation of students and attractiveness of the courses. The role of the teacher in teaching - learning - evaluation remains still remarkable, and the limits of computer-assisted training can be compensated by alternating the methods and including compensatory methods in the same course.

The proposed method is used by a university year at the Hunedoara Faculty of Engineering and presents a didactic success based on attractiveness, ease of use and understanding.

REFERENCES

- [1] Y. Kong and I. Xie, "Professional Courses for Computer Engineering Education", *I. J. Modern Education and Computer Science*, 1, pp.1-8, 2010.
- [2] Milne and G. Rowe, "Difficulties in Learning and Teaching Programming - Views of Students and Tutors", *Education and Information Technologies*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 55 - 66, 2002.
- [3] N. F. A. Zainal, S. Shahrani, N. F. M. Yatim, R. A. Rahman, M. Rahmat and R. Latih, "Students' perception and motivation towards programming", *UKM Teaching and Learning Congress*, 2011.
- [4] C.-Y. Chao, Y.-T. Chen and K.-Y. Chuang, "Exploring students' learning attitude and achievement in flipped learning supported computer aided design curriculum: A study in high school engineering education", *Computer Applications in Engineering Education*, 23(4), 514–526, 2015.
- [5] D.O.Bruff, D.H. Fisher, K.E. McEwen and B.E. Smith, "Wrapping a MOOC: Student perceptions of an experiment in blended learning", *Journal of Online Learning and Teaching*, 9(2), 187, 2013.
- [6] A.P. Gilakjani, "The Significant Role of Multimedia in Motivating EFL Learners' Interest in English Language Learning", *International Journal of Modern Education and Computer Science*, 4, 57–66, 4 (May 2012).
- [7] A. Herala, A. Knutas, E. Vanhalan and J. Kasurinen, "Experiences from Video Lectures in Software Engineering Education", *International Journal of Modern Education and Computer Science*, Vol.9, No.5, May 2017.
- [8] M. Mladenović, M. Rosić and S. Mladenović, "Comparing Elementary Students' Programming Success based on Programming Environment", *International Journal of Modern Education and Computer Science*, Vol. 8, No. 8, Aug. 2016.
- [9] A. Bondenson, T. Rylander and P. Ingelstrom, "Computational Electromagnetics", *Publisher: Springer*, New York, 2005.
- [10] N. Faruk and U. M. Gana, "FDTD Modelling of Electromagnetic Waves in Stratified Medium", *Global Journal of Engineering Research*, vol 12, pp. 1-12, 2013.
- [11] R. Dobra, D. Pasculescu and M. A. Ahmad, "Simulation of Electromagnetic Field Distribution Generated by Wave Transmitters", Asian Academic Research Journal of Multidisciplinary, 3(1), 2016.
- [12] W. Lei, C. Jingjing and L. Wenzhong, "FDTD Analysis of Electromagnetically Induced Heating and Bio-heat

Transfer for Magnetic Fluid Hyperthermia", *International Workshop on Magnetic Particle Imaging*, 2014.

- [13] G. Akram and Y. Jasmy, "Simulation of the Finite Difference Time Domain in Two Dimension", World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology International Journal of Computer, Electrical, Automation, Control and Information Engineering, vol. 6, no. 3, 2012.
- [14] U.S. Inan and R.A. Marshall, "Numerical Electromagnetics – The FDTD Method", *Cambridge University Press*, 2011.
- [15] I. Marinova and V. Mateev, "Electromagnetic Field Modeling in Human Tissue", World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology, vol. 4, 2010.
- [16] "Matlab the Language of Technical Computing, Creating Graphical User Interfaces", *Ed. The MathWork Inc.*, 2000.
- [17] M. Ghinea and V. Firețeanu, "MATLAB calcul numeric, grafică, aplicații" [Numerical calculations, graphics, applications], *Publisher: Teora*, Bucharest, 1997.
- [18] M. Osaci, "Matlab in Educational Activities on Physics", *Acta Technica Corviniensis-Bulletin of Engineering*, 5(4), 2012.
- [19] B.R. Hunt, R.L. Lipsman, J.M. Rosenberg, K.R. Coombes, J.E. Osborn and G.J. Stuck, "A Guide to Matlab for Beginners and Experienced Users", *Cambridge University Press*, 2001.
- [20] J. Desmond, N. Higham and J. Higham, "Matlab Guide: Second Edition", SIAM, Series: Other Titles in Applied Mathematics, 2005.
- [21] H.J. Espinosa, D.A. James, S. Kelly and A. Wixted, "Sports monitoring data and video interface using a GUI auto generation Matlab tool", *Procedia Engineering*, vol. 60, pp. 243-248, 2013.

Author's Profile



Mihaela Osaci, lecturer, Polytechnic University of Timisoara, Engineering Faculty of Hunedoara / Electrical Engineering & Industrial Informatics Department.

Main activities and responsibilities: Didactic and scientific research activities. Technical skills and competences: Physics,

Electromagnetism, Nanomagnetism, Modeling and Simulation.

How to cite this paper: Mihaela Osaci, "Numerical Simulation Methods of Electromagnetic Field in Higher Education: Didactic Application with Graphical Interface for FDTD Method ", International Journal of Modern Education and Computer Science(IJMECS), Vol.10, No.8, pp. 1-10, 2018.DOI: 10.5815/ijmecs.2018.08.01