

# Data Mining to Prediction Student Achievement based on Motivation, Learning and Emotional Intelligence in MAN 1 Ketapang

## Muhammad U. Fahri

Master of Information Technology, Binus Graduate Programs, Bina Nusantara University Email: Muhammad.fahri001@binus.ac.id

## Sani M. Isa

Master of Information Technology, Binus Graduate Programs, Bina Nusantara University Email: sani.m.isa@binus.ac.id

Received: 05 March 2018; Accepted: 10 April 2018; Published: 08 June 2018

*Abstract*—The problems that exist in the school decline in student achievement ahead of class III, especially before approaching the national exam. If the learning achievement of third-grade students can be known earlier then the school can perform the actions necessary for students to achieve good learning achievement.

This research uses two methods of data mining, Neural Network Model Multilayer Perceptron, and Decision Tree. For comparison, this study also uses t-statistic test, t-test and to compare precision/recall using Roc Curve.

Neural Network Model Multilayer Perceptron Positive performance vector accuracy: 88.64% and Negative: 14.07%, precision (positive guidance class) positive 88.00% and negative 16.88%, recall (class: Ordinary guidance) positive 84.50%, and negative 21.73%. Decision Tree Positive performance vector accuracy: 84.82% and Negative: 15.24%, precision (positive guidance class) positive 86.55% and negative 18.52%, recall (class: ordinary guidance) positive 84.00% and negative 23.85%

Experiments conducted in this study aims to prove that data mining can predict student achievement by finding the best data mining method between the multilayer perceptron neural network and Decision tree to be implemented into integrated information system between student motivation data, student learning interest, and intelligence emotional students.

*Index Terms*—Data Mining, Neural Network, Decision Tree, Student Motivation, Student Learning, Intelligence Emotional.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Currently to dig the added value of information that has not been known manually from a database. By extracting patterns from the data in order to manipulate the data into more valuable information obtained by extracting and recognizing the important or interesting patterns of data contained in the database. Data mining is necessary to do especially in managing very large data to facilitate the activities of recording a transaction and for data mining process in order to provide accurate information for its users

Learning achievement cannot be separated from learning activities because learning is a process while learning achievement is the result of the learning process. For a child, learning is an obligation. Success or failure of a child in education depends on the learning process experienced by the child.

Research Wasty Soemanto (2003) mentions the introduction of a person to the achievement of learning is important, because by knowing the results that have been achieved then the students will be more trying to improve learning achievement (Hamdu & Agustina, 2011). Thus the increase in learning achievement can be more optimal because the students feel motivated to improve learning achievement that has been achieved previously.

In fact, in the process of teaching and learning in schools are often found students who cannot achieve learning achievement equivalent to the ability of intelligence. There are students who have high intelligence skills but have relatively low learning achievement, but there are students who, despite their relatively low ability, can achieve relatively high learning achievement. That is why the level of intelligence is not the only factor that determines one's success because there are other factors that effect. According to Goleman, intellectual intelligence (IQ) contributes only 20% to success, while 80% is a contributing factor of other forces, including emotional intelligence or Emotional Quotient (EQ).

Data mining, in general, can be used to predict what will happen in the future. Research (W, 2007) shows data mining can be used to predict credit risk status of Bank X as a result of C5.0 algorithm 87, 72%, CART 87, 27% and CHAID 87, 15%.

Research from Susanto & Sudiyanto, 2014 shows that Data Mining can predict student achievement based on socioeconomic, motivation, discipline and past achievement with accuracy using J48 decision tree algorithm with accuracy of 95.7%, while prediction analysis using CHAID has an accuracy of 82.1% and multiple regression analysis yields a significance level of 90.6%.

The research (Meinanda, Annisa, Muhandri, & Suryadi, 2009) used Multilayer Perceptron (MLP) architecture model in the prediction of undergraduate study with Artificial Neural Network. From this study found that the length of the study period is influenced by cumulative achievement index (GPA), the number of courses taken, the number of courses repeat and the number of taking a particular course.

Research (Sappaile, 2007) indicates that students' learning motivation can influence student's learning achievement, (Rohim, 2011) shows that interest in learning can affect student's learning achievement and research (Thaib, 2013) there is a relationship between emotional intelligence and student's learning achievement.

Madrasah Aliyah Negeri Ketapang (MAN) is an institution that has a large number of data/information, this information that will be very useful for the development of something useful. The data commonly owned by schools in large numbers and will always increase each year, among others, student achievement data, student interest data, and student emotional intelligence data. From the data held in school, the data has not been utilized properly as a consideration for the school. Therefore, with the existence of data mining can be one solution to mine the pile of data to make the value or information more useful.

The problems that exist in the school decline in student achievement ahead of class III, especially before approaching the national exam. With the decrease of school learning achievement, the school makes the policy of students who start to enter class III (Semester V) whose performance decreases must be guided more extra. Because extra guidance is only done since class III (Semester V) feels too late to know will the achievement of student achievement. If the learning achievement of third-grade students can be known earlier then the school can perform the actions necessary for students to achieve good learning achievement.

The final hope is that all third-grade students from various background factors can be maximized in improving their learning achievement. Based on the above explanation, the focus of this study is to predict student achievement by using data mining method based on students' learning motivation, student learning interest and emotional intelligence of students at MAN 1 Ketapang.

Research Objective is to show data mining Neural Network Model Multilayer Perceptron can predict student achievement at MAN 1 Ketapang and View Comparison of Accuracy level of Multilayer Perceptron and Decision Tree Neural Network in predict student achievement at MAN 1 Ketapang.

## II. RESEARCH METHOD

## 2.1 Framework Think

The framework contains the steps that will be taken in conducting this research based on the stages of KDD. This research is divided into the following steps:



## Fig.1. Framework Think

## 2.2 Data Selection

In this research, the research used as many as 65 attributes and 1 labels obtained from students' motivation data, learning interest and emotional intelligence of MAN 1 Ketapang students and data from Counseling Guidance Teachers about grade 3 students (Semester V) who need guidance. The attributes used by researchers are:

## Data Mining to Prediction Student Achievement based on Motivation, Learning and Emotional Intelligence in MAN 1 Ketapang

	Table 1. Data Attribute				
Variable	Semester 1	Indicator	Name		
X1					
X2			Al Quran Hadith		
X3			Aqidah Akhlak		
X4			Fikih		
X5			History of Islam		
X6			Pancasila and civic education		
X7			Indonesian		
X8		Student Motivation	Arabic		
X9			Mathematics		
X10			Indonesian History		
X11			English		
X12			Art and culture		
X13			Sports physical Education and health		
X14			Workshops and Entrepreneurship		
X15			Average Interest Interest Learning		
X16			Average Interests Value		
X17		Interest to	Total Extracurricular		
X18	Ι	learn	Sick		
X19			Permission		
X20			without explanation		
X21			Attitudes of Al Quran Hadith		
X22			Attitudes of Aqidah Akhlak		
X23			The attitude of Fiqh		
X24			Attitudes History of Islamic Culture		
X25			Education Attitudes Pancasila and Citizenship		
X26	1		Indonesian Attitude		
X27	1	Emotional Intelligence	Arabic Attitude		
X28		genee	Math Attitude		
X29			The Attitudes of Indonesian History		
X30			English Attitude		
X31			The attitude of Cultural Art		
X32			Attitude of Physical Education, Sport and Health		
X33			Attitude and Entrepreneurship Attitude		

		-	
X34			Al Quran Hadith
X35			Aqidah Akhlak
X36			Fikih
X37			History of Islam
X38			Pancasila and civic education
X39			Indonesian
X40		Student Motivation	Arabic
X41			Mathematics
X42			Indonesian History
X43			English
X44			Art and culture
X45			Sports physical Education and health
X46			Workshops and Entrepreneurship
X47		Interest to learn	Average Interest Interest Learning
X48			Average Interests Value
X49			Total Extracurricular
X50	Π		Sick
X51			Permission
X52			without explanation
X53			Attitudes of Al Quran Hadith
X54			Attitudes of Aqidah Akhlak
X55			The attitude of Fiqh
X56			Attitudes History of Islamic Culture
X57			Education Attitudes Pancasila and Citizenship
X58			Indonesian Attitude
X59		Emotional Intelligence	Arabic Attitude
X60		Intelligence	Math Attitude
X61			The Attitudes of Indonesian History
X62			English Attitude
X63			The attitude of Cultural Art
X64			Attitude of Physical Education, Sport and Health
X65			Attitude and Entrepreneurship Attitude
Y	v	Student Tutor	

Table 1 shows that there are 65 regular attributes and 1 label.

In MAN Ketapang there are 3 majors are Religion, Science, and IPS by selecting the student story data in table 2.

majors	Semester	Many Sampling Students
Agama	I - IV	36 Person
IPA	I - IV	36 Person
IPS	I - IV	34 Person

Table 2. Sampling

Table 2 is a sample of the research that will be conducted by taking 3 majors at once, with a total of 106 student students.

## 2.3 Cleaning Data

Data from attributes X1 to X65 will be done cleaning duplicate data checks inconsistent data and fix errors in the data. data cleaning research is done by replacing missing value with the average method

## 2.4 Transformation

This research uses Rapidminer application, Transformation value X1 s / d X65 by normalizing data into 0 to 1 range 1. Transformation is done because in this research using sigmoid activation function for neural network multilayer perceptron. data in normalization to the range 0.1 - 0.9 got more accurate results.

## 2.5 Data Mining

This research uses two methods of data mining, namely:

## 2.5.1 Neural Network Model Multilayer Perceptron

Using a number of folds 10 with the Neural Network data mining method:

Hidden Layer: 1 Training Cycles: 500 Learning Rate: 0.3 Momentum: 0.2

## 2.5.2 Decision Tree

As a comparison of research also use a decision tree. Using a number of folds 10 with the method of data mining decision tree

Criteria: Gain Ratio Maximal Depth: 20 Confidence: 0.25 Minimal Gain: 0.1

## 2.6 Evaluation

As an evaluation material comparison of two methods of data mining. there are two cross-validations (Folds: 10) between the neural network and the Decision tree. Then produce performance vector accuracy. For comparison, this study also uses t-statistic test, t-test and to compare precision/recall using Roc Curve.

## III. RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

## 3.1 Data Selection

From data set, from data analysis one or more data deviate to other data. In this case, prior to data processing, the researcher first whether the deviant data had to be discarded or can be maintained. Data were collected based on research attribute where X1 - X65 and label Y Student Guidance Class III (Semester V), the data is imported into the application rapidminer. Attribute name of the student in exclude and label of student guidance (Y) used as a label. With a total example set (106 example, 1 Special Attribute, 65 Regular Attribute).



Fig.2. Examples of data distribution

In Fig. 2 is a sample of the distribution of data (attributes X2 and X3 to label Y)

#### 3.2 Cleaning Data

Cleaning data is done to replace missing value: Attribute filter type: all Default: average After done Cleaning the data obtained example set (106 example, 1 Special attribute, 65 regular attributes). data already in cleaning, the data with all missing 0

#### 3.3 Transformation

Attribute filter type: all Method: range transform Min: 0.1 Max: 0.9 The data in the transform

The data in the transform becomes the range 0.1 - 0.9 because the data using binary sigmoid activation. all data has been normalized to a range of 0.1 s / d 0.9.

#### 3.4 Data Mining

The researcher used two cross-validations, the first for cross-validation (Validation NN) neural network with a number of folds 10 sampling type stratified sampling and the second using cross-validation (Validation Dt) with a number of folds 10 sampling type stratified sampling.

### 3.4.1 Neural Network Model Multilayer Perceptron

Cross-validation with 90% training data and 10% testing data.

Hidden layers: 1 Training cycles: 500 Learning rate: 0.3 Momentum: 0.2

3.4.1.1 Performance Vector

Table 3. Confusion Matrix Neural Network

	True extra guidance	True ordinary guidance	Class Precision
predictions of extra guidance	55	7	88.71%
predictions of ordinary guidance	5	39	88.64%
Class Recall	91.67%	84.78%	

In Table 3 the accuracy of positive vector performance: 88.64% and Negative: 14.07%, precision (normal guidance class) positive 88.00% and negative 16.88%, recall (class: Ordinary guidance) positive 84.50% and negative 21.73%

#### 3.4.1.2 Improved Neural Network

Of the neural network wherein the study there are 1 hidden layers, 42 nodes, 1 threshold and 2 classes (class of ordinary guidance and extra guidance).

## 3.4.1.3 Example Set Neural Network

Row No.	Y	prediction(Y)	confidence(	confidence(	X1 = IPA	X1 - AGAMA	X1 = IPS
1	Bimbingan Biasa	Bimbingan Blasa	1.000	0.000	1	0	0
2	Bimbingan Ekstra	Bimbingan Ekstra	0.000	1.000	1	0	0
3	Bimbingan Ekstra	Bimbingan Biasa	0.800	0.200	1	0	0
4	Bimbingan Ekstra	Bimbingan Ekstra	0.028	0.972	1	0	0
5	Bimbingan Biasa	Bimbingan Biasa	1.000	0.000	1	0	0
6	Bimbingan Biasa	Bimbingan Biasa	0.995	0.005	0	1	0
7	Bimbingan Biasa	Bimbingan Biasa	0.994	0.006	0	1	0
8	Bimbingan Ekstra	Bimbingan Ekstra	0.000	1.000	0	1	0
9	Bimbingan Ekstra	Bimbingan Ekstra	0.000	1.000	0	0	1

Fig.3. Example Set

In Fig. 3 above the sample from the example set that has been done with Y as the label and Prediction (Y) as the result of the testing prediction.



Fig.4. Prediction Comparison

Fig. 4 shows the comparison of predicted results between the usual guidance class and the extra tutoring class in which the usual guidance predictions got the frequency of 43 and the extra-buck guidance prediction of 61.

#### 3.4.2 Decision Tree

3.4.2.1 Performance Vector

Table 4. Confusion Matrix Decision Tree

	true extra guidance	True ordinary guidance	Class Precision
predictions of extra guidance	51	7	87.93%
predictions of ordinary guidance	9	39	81.25%
Class recall	85.00%	84.78%	

In Table. 4 Accuracy of positive vector performance: 84.82% and Negative: 15.24%, precision (class guidance regular) positive 86.55% and negative 18.52%, recall (class: Normal guidance) positive 84.00% and negative 23.85%.

## 3.4.2.2 Tree ( Decision Tree )

Shows the decision tree where the determinant factor X5 is the first-semester student motivation on the history of Islamic culture.

## Decision Tree

X5 > 82.500

| X1 = IPS > 0.500: Extra guidance {Extra guidance=1, Ordinary guidance=1}

 $| X1 = IPS \le 0.500: Ordinary guidance {Extra guidance=0, Ordinary guidance=28}$ 

 $X5 \le 82.500$ 

| X7 > 85.500: Ordinary guidance {Extra guidance=0, Ordinary guidance=6}

 $X7 \le 85.500$ 

| | X4 > 86.500: Ordinary guidance {Extra guidance=0, Ordinary guidance=2}

 $X4 \le 86.500$ 

 $\label{eq:constraint} \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|} & | & X14 > 85.500 \text{: Ordinary guidance } \{Extra guidance=0, Ordinary guidance=2\} \end{array}$ 

 $| | X14 \le 85.500$ 

| | | X2 > 82.500

 $\label{eq:constraint} \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} | & | & X5 > 76.500: \ Ordinary \ guidance \ {Extra guidance=0, \ Ordinary \ guidance=4} \end{array}$ 

| | | | | X5  $\leq$  76.500: Extra guidance {Extra guidance=3, Ordinary guidance=0}

 $| | | X2 \le 82.500$ 

| | | | X14 > 73.500: Extra guidance {Extra guidance=54, Ordinary guidance=1}

 $| | | | X14 \le 73.500$ 

| | | | | | X1 = IPS > 0.500: Extra guidance {Extra guidance=2, Ordinary guidance=0}

 $| | | | | | X1 = IPS \le 0.500$ : Ordinary guidance {Extra guidance=0, Ordinary guidance=2}

## 3.4.2.3 Example Set Decision Tree

A sample from the example set that has been done with Y as a label and Prediction (Y) as a result of the testing prediction.



Fig.5 shows the comparison of predicted results between the usual guidance class and the extra tutoring class in which the usual guidance predictions got a frequency of 48 and an extra guidance prediction of 58.

## 3.5 Evaluation

#### 3.5.1 Performance Vector

From the above process, results are determined values for things or objects that are based on certain references to specify a particular purpose.

Туре	Accuracy Positive	Precession Positive	Recall Positive
Neural Network	88.64%	88.00%	84.50%
Decision Tree	84.82%	86.55%	84.00%

Table 5. Accuracy Comparison of Predictions

From Table 5 above can be concluded neural network multilayer perceptron better in doing the prediction where the value of accuracy, precession and recall higher than decision tree.



#### 3.5.2 Test statistics t-test

Comparative test to assess the difference between a certain value and the average. as a test procedure t-test on rapidminer with alpha: 0.05.

Table 6. Pairwise	T-Test (T-Test	:)
-------------------	----------------	----

А	В	С
	0.896 +/- 0.122	0.848 +/- 0.152
0.896 +/- 0.122		0.446
0.848 +/- 0.152		

In Table 6 Probability for random values with the same result, values smaller than alpha = 0.05 indicating a significant difference between the actual average value. The probability for random values with the same result: 0.446. A smaller value of alpha = 0.050 indicates no significant difference between the Validation neural network and Decision tree values.

List of performance values:

Validation Neural Network = 0:  $0.896 \pm 0.122$ Validation Decision Tree = 1: 0.848 + - 0.152

## 3.5.3 ROC Curve

Displaying performance information of classification algorithms in graphical form using Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC). process compare ROCs in rapidminer to find the value of precision-recall curve with:

Number of folds: 10 Split ratio: 0.7

Comparing the precision/recall between Neural Network and Decision Tree. Her visual results are as follows:



In Fig. 7 can be read with, if the baseline approaches from 0,0 then it is ugly and if the curve is point 1 then good. From the graph of ROC visualization above can be concluded the performance of neural network algorithm and decision tree are both good.

## IV. CONCLUSION

Experiments conducted in this study aims to prove that data mining can predict student achievement by finding the best data mining method between the multilayer perceptron neural network and Decision tree to be implemented into integrated information system between student motivation data, student learning interest, and intelligence emotional students.

From the experimental results, it is found that data prediction model with the multilayer perceptron neural network method has better prediction performance than a model with Decision tree approach. In this study, predictive performance of each model in predicting student achievement or more specifically that grade 3 students (semester V) received regular guidance or extra guidance, measured in Neural network multilayer perceptron and Decision tree where the multilayer perceptron neural network model gets value of accuracy, precession and recall higher than the Decision tree

The performance of a better multilayer perceptron neural network model compared to the Decision tree model, which is both based on computational intelligence, is due to the Neural network multilayer perceptron model having better generalizations than the Decision Tree model as a result of the prediction.

Therefore, from this research, it can be concluded that multilayer perceptron neural network method is highly recommended for use in integrated information system between student motivation, student learning interest and students' emotional intelligence at MAN Ketapang in predicting students from early (first semester) so that students entering class III the school side can give special treatment in order to pass the student up to class III to be more mature in facing national exam.

With Neural network multilayer perceptron research will then be created an application of artificial intelligence that will be implemented to school with integrated with student motivation data, student learning interest and emotional intelligence of students.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Mr. Sani Muhammad as a supervising lecturer, who always provide direction, support and motivation during the preparation of this research.

#### REFERENCES

- Arisandi, R., & Latifah, M. (n.d.). Analisis Persepsi anak terhadap gaya pengasuh orangtua, kecerdasan emosional, aktifitas dan prestasi belajar siswa kelas XI di SMA negeri 3 Sukabumi. Departemen ilmu Keluarga dan konsumen, Fakultas Ekologi Manusia IPB.
- [2] Daniati, E. (n.d.). Klasifikasi Kelompok Penjamin Mutu Pada Karyawan perusahaan XYZ dengan KNN dan J48. Nusantara Of Engenering, Vol 2.
- [3] Daud, F. (2012). Pengaruh Kecerdasan Emosional (EQ) dan Motivasi belajar terhadap hasil belajar biologi siswa

SMA 3 negeri kota palopo. Jurnal Pendidikan dan Pembelajaran, Volume 19, Nomor 2.

- [4] Fitri, S. (2014). Perbandingan Kinerja Algoritma Klasifikasi Naive Bayesian, Lazy IBK, Zero - R, Dan Decisson Tree-J48. Jurnal Dasi, Vol.15 No 1.
- [5] Hamdu, G., & Agustina, L. (2011). Pengaruh motivasi belajar siswa terhadap pestasi belajar ipa di sekolah dasar. Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan, Vol 12. No 1.
- [6] Hermawati, N. W. (2012). Pengaruh Model pembelajaran ikuiri terhadap penguasaan konsep biologi dan sikap ilmiah siswa ditinjau dari minat belajar siswa. Artikel Program Studi Pendidikan Sains Program Pascasarjana Universitas Peneididikan Ganesha.
- [7] Lesmana, I. P. (2012). Pengembangan Decisson Tree J48 untuk diagnosis Penyakit diabetes melitus. Seminar Nasional Teknologi Informasi & Komunikasi Terapan.
- [8] Mawarsih, S. E., Susilaningsih, & Hamidi, N. (2013). Pengaruh Perhatian orang tua dan motivasi belajar terhadap presatasi belajar siswa SMA Negeri Jumapolo. Jupe UNS, Hal 1 s/d 13.
- [9] Mayadewi, P., & Rosely, E. (2015). Prediksi Nilai Proyek Akhir Mahasiswa Menggunakan Algoritma Klasifikasi Data Mining. Seminar Nasional Sistem Informasi Indonesia, 2.3.
- [10] Meinanda, M. H., Annisa, M., Muhandri, N., & Suryadi, K. (2009). Prediksi Masa studi sarjana dengan Arficial Neurel Network. Internetworking Indonesia Journal, Vol 1/No 2.
- [11] Purnamasary, D., Henharta, J., Sasmita, Y. P., Ihsani, F., & Wicaksana, I. W. (2013). Get Easy Using Weka. Makasar: Dapur Buku.
- [12] Rohim, A. (2011). Pengaruh minat belajar terhadap prestasi belajar siswa pada bidang studi PAI. Jurusan Pendidikan agama islam fakultas ilmu tarbiyah dan keguruan.
- [13] Sappaile, B. I. (2007). Hubungan kemampuan penalaran dalam matematika dan Motivasi berprestasi terhadap prestasi belajar matematika. Jurnal Pendidikan dan Kebudayaan, 069.
- [14] Siagian, R. E. (n.d.). Pengaruh Minat dan Kebiasaan Belajar Siswa terhadap Prestasi belajar Matematika. Jurnal Formatif 2, 121-131.
- [15] Susanto, H., & Sudiyanto. (2014). Data mining untuk memprediksi prestasi siswa berdasarkan sosial ekonomi, motivasi, kedisiplinan dan prestasi masa lalu. Jurnal Pendidikan Vokasi.
- [16] Syarif, I. (2012). Pengaruh Model Blended Learning Terhadap Motivasi dan Prestasi Belajar Siswa SMK. Jurnal Pendidikan Vokasi, Vol 2, Nomor 2.
- [17] Thaib, E. N. (2013). Hubungan Antara prestasi belajar dengan kecerdasan emosional. Jurnal Ilmiah Didaktika, VOL. XIII No.2.
- [18] Thaib, E. N. (2013). Hubungan antara prestasi belajar siswa dengan kecerdasan emosional. Jurnal Ilmiah Didaktika, 2.
- [19] W, Y. Y. (2007). Perbandingan performansi algoritma decision tree c5.0, cart, dan chaid: kasus prediksi status resiko kredit di bank x. Seminar Nasional Aplikasi Teknologi Informasi.
- [20] Wasti, S. (2013). Hubungan Minat Belajar dengan hasil belajar mata pelajaran tata busana di madrasah aliyah negeri 2 Padang. Program Studi Pendidikan Kesahjahtraan Keluarga Fakultas Teknik Universitas Negeri Padang.

# **Authors' Profiles**



**Muhammad U. Fahri**, Graduate program students majoring in Master of Information Technology, Binus Graduate Programs, and Bina Nusantara University



**Sani M. Isa,** as a supervising lecturer, who always provide direction, support, and motivation during the preparation of this research

You can find some Sani M.Isa publications at:

http://scholar.google.co.id/citations?use r=NDY8tUUAAAAJ&hl=en&oi=sra

http://www.researchgate.net/profile/San

i\_M\_Isa/publications

**How to cite this paper:** Muhammad U. Fahri, Sani M. Isa, "Data Mining to Prediction Student Achievement based on Motivation, Learning and Emotional Intelligence in MAN 1 Ketapang", International Journal of Modern Education and Computer Science(IJMECS), Vol.10, No.6, pp. 53-60, 2018.DOI: 10.5815/ijmecs.2018.06.07